# The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

## **Subject Description Form**

Please read the notes at the end of the table carefully before completing the form.

Subject Code	APSS1A04			
Subject Title	Understanding Ethics in Daily Life			
Credit Value	3			
Level	1			
GUR Requirements Intended to Fulfill	This subject intends to fulfill the following requirement(s) :         Healthy Lifestyle         AI and Data Analytics (AIDA)         Innovation and Entrepreneurship (IE)         Languages and Communication Requirement (LCR)         Leadership Education and Development (LEAD)         Service-Learning         Cluster-Area Requirement (CAR)         Human Nature, Relations and Development [CAR A]         Science, Technology and Environment [CAR D]         Chinese History and Culture [CAR M]         Cultures, Organizations, Societies and Globalization [CAR N]         Yes or No         Writing and Reading Requirements         English or			
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Exclusion: APSS2815 Ethics and Daily Life			
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous         Assessment         1. Quiz         2. Participation         3. Group Project         4. Term Paper         • The grade is calculated a	Individual Assessment 20% 10% 50%	Group Assessment  10%  e assigned;	

	• The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and
	• Student must pass all component(s) if he/she is to pass the subject.
Objectives	This subject aims to help students have an elementary understanding of the significance of moral discussions in their daily lives and in their future professional practices. It also enables students to apply moral concepts and theories to moral problems that they face in the fast changing modern society.
Intended Learning Outcomes	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:
	(a) Familiarize themselves with the basic concepts and theories of morality;
(Note 1)	<ul><li>(b) Identify the current moral issues in the rapidly changing modern society;</li><li>(c) employ critical thinking skills to make moral decisions in their workplace.</li></ul>
	Please explain how the stated learning outcomes relate to the following three essential features of GUR subjects: Literacy, Higher order thinking, and Lifelong learning
	1. Students will be required to do substantial readings to enable their better understanding on the various ethical concepts and issues.
	2. They are expected to do group projects and submit written works which reflect their critical thinking abilities in dealing with the ethical issues in daily life.
	3. They are also expected to develop in the future a self-motivated pursuit of an independent critical framework on daily life ethical issues.
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	1. Introduction: What is ethics all about?
(Note 2)	<ol> <li>Moral Theories: What are the criteria of Right and Wrong?</li> <li>a) Utilitarianism</li> </ol>
	b) Kant and Deontological Ethics
	c) Libertarianism and Natural Rights
	<ul><li>d) Social Contract Theory</li><li>e) Virtue Ethics</li></ul>
	f) Capabilities Approach
	3. Ethical Issues in Daily Life
	<ul><li>a) Honesty and the varieties of dishonesty</li><li>b) Ethics in the workplace</li></ul>
	c) Distributive justice & the morality of markets
	d) Animal ethics
	<ul><li>e) Environmental ethics &amp; climate change ethics</li><li>f) Ethics, AI, and algorithmic Bias</li></ul>
	g) Charity and effective altruism
	h) Ethics of social media

Teaching/Learning Methodology (Note 3)	The subject will be taugh to engage students' inter efforts to explore moral papers, as well as to parti	rest in the su l issues thro	ubject. ough th	Studen neir gro	ts are e oup pro	expected	l to pay their nd individual
Assessment Methods in Alignment with	Specific assessment methods/tasks			Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)			
Intended Learning Outcomes			а	b	c		
(Note 4)	1. Quiz	20%	✓	$\checkmark$	~		
	2. Participation	10%	✓	✓	~		
	3. Group Project	20%	✓	✓	~		
	4. Term Paper	50%	~	~	~		
	Total	100%		1	1	1 1	
Student Study	Students may also want through discussion and c project is designed to le practical problems in soc The term paper is inten- carefully various ethical issues, and also to devel This is expected to bring views on the issues are co Class contact:	collaboration et students a iety, which e ded to provi theories and op their orig about both (a	with of pply the enhance de stud their a ginal vi	others. he ethic es both dents w applicat ews of	For thial cond (a), (b) ith an ions to the go	s purpo cepts an and (c) opportu contem od life	se, the group ad theories to nity to study porary moral and morality.
Effort Expected	Lecture					39 Hrs.	
	Other student study effort:						
	Personal Essay				10 Hrs.		
	Group Project				10 Hrs.		
	Quiz Preparation					10 Hrs.	
	Self-studies				43 Hrs.		
	Total student study effort				112 Hrs.		
Reading List and References	<b>Essential</b> Sandel, M. (2009). <i>Justic</i>	e: What's th	e Righi	t Thing	to Do?	Pengui	n.

Supplementary
Adams, C.J., Crary, A., and Gruen, L. (2023). <i>The Good It Promises, The Harm It Does: Critical Essays on Effective Altruism</i> . Oxford University Press.
Almond, B. and D. Hill, eds. (1991). <i>Applied Philosophy: Moral and Metaphysics in Contemporary Debate</i> . London: Routledge.
Anderson, E. (2019). Private Government: How Employers Rule Our Lives (And Why We Don't Talk About It). Princeton: Princeton University Press.
Blackburn, S. (2003). <i>Ethics: A Very Short Introduction</i> . Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Broome, J. (2012). <i>Climate Matters: Ethics in a Warming World</i> . New York: Norton.
Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). AI Ethics. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
Cohen, A. and C. Wellman, eds. (2005). <i>Contemporary Debates in Applied Ethics</i> . London: Blackwell.
Frankfurt, H. (2005) On Bullshit. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
Jamieson, D. (2014). <i>Reason in a Dark Time: Why the Struggle Against Climate Change Failed—and What it Means for Our Future</i> . Oxford University Press.
MacIntyre, A. (2007). <i>After Virtue</i> 3 <sup>rd</sup> ed. Notre Dame: Notre Dame University Press.
MacKinnon, B. (2012). <i>Ethics: Theory and Contemporary Issues</i> . 7 <sup>th</sup> edition, Wadsworth Cengage Learning
McGinn, C. (1992). <i>Moral Literacy or How to Do the Right Thing</i> . Indianapolis: Hackett Publishing Company.
Nelson, L. (2018). Social Media and Morality: Losing Our Self Control. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Nussbaum, M. (2011). Creating Capabilities: The Human Development Approach. Belknap Harvard University Press.
Nussbaum, M. (2022). <i>Justice for Animals: Our Collective Responsibility</i> . Simon & Schuster.
Sandel, M. (2013). What Money Can't Buy: The Moral Limits of Markets. New York: Farrar, Straus & Giroux.
Singer, P.(2011). <i>Practical Ethics 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.</i> Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Singer, P., eds. (1993). A Companion to Ethics. Oxford: Blackwell.
Singer, P. (2015). The Most Good You Can Do: How Effective Altruism is Changing Ideas about Living Ethically. New Haven: Yale University Press.
Teichman, J. (1996). Social Ethics: A Student's Guide. Oxford: Blackwell.
Vallor, S. (2016). Technology and the Virtues: A Philosophical Guide to a Future Worth Wanting. New York: Oxford University Press.
Vallor, S. (2024). The AI Mirror: How to Reclaim Our Humanity in an Age of Machine Thinking. Oxford University Press.
Veltman, A. (2016). Meaningful Work. New York: Oxford University Press.
Young, I.M. (1990). Justice and the Politics of Difference. Princeton. University Press.

## Note 1: Intended Learning Outcomes

Intended learning outcomes should state what students should be able to do or attain upon completion of the subject. Subject outcomes are expected to contribute to the attainment of the overall programme outcomes.

<u>Note 2: Subject Synopsis/Indicative Syllabus</u> The syllabus should adequately address the intended learning outcomes. At the same time over-crowding of the syllabus should be avoided.

### Note 3: Teaching/Learning Methodology

This section should include a brief description of the teaching and learning methods to be employed to facilitate learning, and a justification of how the methods are aligned with the intended learning outcomes of the subject.

<u>Note 4: Assessment Method</u> This section should include the assessment method(s) to be used and its relative weighting, and indicate which of the subject intended learning outcomes that each method purports to assess. It should also provide a brief explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes.